

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/602,358	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SCHIFF ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Andrew C. Lee	<b>Art Unit</b> 2476

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Andrew C. Lee. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Evan T. Perry. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 August 2010

**Time:** 4:15PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*Claims 1, 7, 8, 14, 15, 23, 26, 32, 35, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*As set forth in Examiner's Amendment*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Andrew C Lee/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2476

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)